Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/540,447	JANSSENS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EMILY BERNHARDT	1624
II Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>EMILY BERNHARDT</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Woodrow.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 June 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 18		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Emily Bernhardt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Woodrow on 6/5/09 indicating that while rejoinder of nonelected claims 17 and 18 can occur, claim 18 is an improper multiple dependent claim and needs to be rewritten or cancelled. On 6/17/09 Mr. Woodrow left a voicemail message authorizing cancellation of claim 18. The remaining claims are in condition for allowance in view of applicants' amendments and remarks made in the response of 4/3/09.